

COMPANY ORDERS
BY
CAPT. R.A. BRAVAN
TEMPORARILY COMMANDING
606 COMPANY PIONEER CORPS

For Sunday, 31 May 42.

ORDERLY OFFICER 2/Lieut. M.J. Sinto

ROUTINE	Reveille	0500 hrs.
	Roll Call	0515 "
	Breakfast	0600 "
	Camp Inspection	1000 "
	followed by	
	Foot Inspection	
	Dinner	1145 "
	Tea	1630 "
	Guard mounting	1800 "
	Transport Maintenance	1800 "
	Night Roll Call	2230 "

DUTIES Guard: 2 N.C.Os and 8 men of Section No 5.

607. PUNISHMENTS.

13688 Pte Rahamim Z has been deprived 7 days' pay for absence without leave for 23 hrs 45 minutes, and forfeits 1 day's pay by R.W.

11245 WS/Cpl Michels G has been reprimanded for not complying with an order given by his superior officer.

12289 P/L/Cpl Gartenhaus C has been reprimanded for not complying with an order given by his superior officer.

10943 P/L/Cpl Rose E has been reprimanded for absence without leave for 2 hours.

12623 Pte Britwitz A has been awarded 14 days' F.P. for being in unlawful possession of Government property, i.e. 1 pair of socks and 1 pair of laces.

11848 Pte Benyamin Y has been awarded 14 days' F.P. for being in unlawful possession of Government property, i.e. 1 pair of Socks.

608. STOLEN PROPERTY.

The Company Commander has taken certain steps which has led to the recovery of a considerable quantity of property which has been taken away from the Decks by men of this Unit.

Some of it has been recovered in this Camp with the result that it has been necessary to order that Dock Parties be searched before entering the Camp.

This means that honest men have to undergo an ordeal because of the dishonesty of their comrades.

The Company Commander is determined to track down every case of theft, and intends to send every case for a Court Martial.

There is no need for any man in this Unit to steal.

He is fully equipped to M.E.F. Scale.

609. DOCK PARTIES.

A complaint was received by the Company Commander on the night of 29.5.42 that men of this Company would not carry out their duties on the Decks.

The Company Commander visited the Decks, and ascertained that owing to the poor work of the morning shift the afternoon shift had to work late.

The fact that the morning shift had failed to carry out their job did not justify the afternoon shift adopting the attitude they did, and in no circumstances will this sort of conduct be tolerated.

Section Sergeants are responsible for the behaviour of their Sections, and if another complaint is received, disciplinary action will be taken against the Sergeants.